

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FIL	ING DATE	· FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/925,825	08/10/2001		Clarence J. Link Jr.		6973	
24919	7590	02/19/2002				
MCAFEE &			EXAMINER			
TENTH FLOOR, TWO LEADERSHIP SQUARE 211 NORTH ROBINSON				DOUGLAS, STEVEN O		
OKLAHOMA CITY, OK 73102				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3751		

DATE MAILED: 02/19/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/925,825	LINK JR., CLARENCE J.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Steven O. Douglas	3751				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a r Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address				
THE N - Exten after: - If the - If NO - Failur - Any re	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a in period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to the toreply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state apply received by the Office later than three months after the main dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply leading to the statutory minimum of thirty (30 d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS lute. cause the application to become ABAND	be timely filed ) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 1	<u> 0 August 2001</u> .					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4) 🖾	Claim(s) 1-86 is/are pending in the applicat	ion.					
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are witho	rawn from consideration.					
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-86</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	d/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9) 🗌 -	The specification is objected to by the Exam	ner.					
10) 🔲 🗆	Γhe drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ ac	cepted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11) 🔲 🗆	The proposed drawing correction filed on		oproved by the Examiner.				
_	If approved, corrected drawings are required in						
•	The oath or declaration is objected to by the	Examiner.					
-	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
, —	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	ign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).				
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority docume						
	2. Certified copies of the priority docume						
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International see the attached detailed Office action for a	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
14)□ A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).				
a	) ☐ The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom	provisional application has been	received.				
Attachment	-	, .					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s	5) Notice of Infor	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) mal Patent Application (PTO-152)				
I S Datent and To	ademark Office						

## Reissue Applications

The original patent, or a statement as to loss or inaccessibility of the original patent, must be received before this reissue application can be allowed. See 37 CFR 1.178.

The reissue oath/declaration filed with this application is defective because it fails to identify at least one error which is relied upon to support the reissue application. See 37 CFR 1.175(a)(1) and MPEP § 1414.

The declaration fails to identify a single word, phrase or expression in the specification or in an original claim and how it renders the original patent wholly or partly inoperative or invalid.

Claims 1-86 are rejected as being based upon a defective reissue declaration under 35 U.S.C. 251 as set forth above. See 37 CFR 1.175.

The nature of the defect(s) in the declaration is set forth in the discussion above in this Office action.

established its ownership interest in the patent for which reissue is being requested. An assignee must establish its ownership interest in order to support the consent to a reissue application required by 37 CFR 1.172(a). The assignee's ownership interest is established by:

(a) filing in the reissue application evidence of a chain of title from the original owner to the assignee, or

(b) specifying in the record of the reissue application where such evidence is recorded in the Office (e.g., reel and frame number, etc.).

The submission with respect to (a) and (b) to establish ownership must be signed by a party authorized to act on behalf of the assignee. See MPEP § 1410.01.

An appropriate paper satisfying the requirements of 37 CFR 3.73 must be submitted in reply to this Office action.

The 3.73(b) statement fails to set forth the proper chain of title since there has been more than one assignment of the application.

Claims 48-86 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Accordingly, during the prosecution of S.N 09/054,221 the original claims 1-47 included the explicit limitations with respect to transmission and clutch controls (see claims 1 and 19) and the claims were allowed based on these explicit limitations (see the reasons for allowance in paper #3, which Applicant failed to contest after receiving paper #3). Therefore, Applicant surrendered the subject matter with respect to the transmission

and clutch controls during the prosecution of the 09/054,221 application and such subject matter should be included in the newly proposed reissue claims 48-86.

Claims 48-86 are further rejected under 35 USC 251 as failing to specifically point out the differences between the original claims 1-47 and the newly proposed claims 48-86.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven O. Douglas whose telephone number is 703-308-0891. The examiner can normally be reached on Wed-Fri 6:30-7:00.

The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7766 for regular communications and 703-308-7766 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0861.

Steven O. Douglas Primary Examiner Art Unit 3751

SD February 12, 2002